

February 1, 2008

LBL-31711
UCB-PTH-92/05

The 2-Dimensional Quantum Euclidean Algebra *

Peter Schupp, Paul Watts, and Bruno Zumino

*Department of Physics
University of California
and
Theoretical Physics Group
Physics Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
1 Cyclotron Road
Berkeley, California 94720*

Abstract

The algebra dual to Woronowicz's deformation of the 2-dimensional Euclidean group is constructed. The same algebra is obtained from $SU_q(2)$ via contraction on both the group and algebra levels.

*This work was supported in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098 and in part by the National Science Foundation under grant PHY90-21139.

1 Introduction

The Euclidean group $E(2)$ is a simple example of an inhomogeneous group. Deformations of such groups in general have been studied in [1]. Celeghini et al. [2] found a deformation of $Ue(2)$ by contracting $U_qsu(2)$ and simultaneously letting the deformation parameter $h \equiv \ln q$ go to zero. Here we are interested in the case where q is left untouched. Elements of the general theory of quantum groups can be found in [3] and references therein.

2 $U_qe(2)$ as the dual of $Fun(E_q(2))$

In this section, the dual to Woronowicz's deformation of $E(2)$ [4] is constructed explicitly, using techniques similar to those of Rosso [5]. Woronowicz introduces Hopf algebra elements $n, v, \bar{n}$, and $\bar{v}$, which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
v\bar{v} &= \bar{v}v = 1, & n\bar{n} &= \bar{n}n, & vn &= qnv, \\
n\bar{v} &= q\bar{v}n, & v\bar{n} &= q\bar{n}v, & \bar{n}\bar{v} &= q\bar{v}\bar{n}, \\
\Delta(n) &= n \otimes \bar{v} + v \otimes \bar{n}, & \Delta(v) &= v \otimes v, \\
\Delta(\bar{n}) &= \bar{n} \otimes v + \bar{v} \otimes \bar{n}, & \Delta(\bar{v}) &= \bar{v} \otimes \bar{v}, \\
\epsilon(n) &= \epsilon(\bar{n}) = 0, & \epsilon(v) &= \epsilon(\bar{v}) = 1, \\
S(n) &= -q^{-1}n, & S(v) &= \bar{v}, \\
S(\bar{n}) &= -q\bar{n}, & S(\bar{v}) &= v,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with $\bar{q} = q$. (These relations can also be obtained through contraction of $SU_q(2)$, as described in the next section.)

For the calculations which follow, it is convenient to introduce the operators $\theta, \bar{\theta}, m$, and $\bar{m}$, defined by

$$v = e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta}, \quad \bar{v} = \theta, \quad m = nv, \quad \bar{m} = \bar{v}\bar{n}. \tag{2}$$

In this basis, the coproducts take on the particularly nice form

$$\Delta(m) = m \otimes 1 + e^{i\theta} \otimes m, \quad \Delta(\bar{m}) = \bar{m} \otimes 1 + e^{-i\theta} \otimes \bar{m},$$

$$\Delta(\theta) = \theta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \theta. \quad (3)$$

Remark: The matrix E given by

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & m \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

satisfies the relations

$$\Delta(E) = E \dot{\otimes} E, \quad S(E) = E^{-1}, \quad \epsilon(E) = I. \quad (5)$$

These are exactly the relations one would expect for an element of a quantum group. Notice that the action of E on the column vector $\begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where z is a complex coordinate, is given by

$$z \mapsto e^{i\theta} z + m, \quad \bar{z} \mapsto e^{-i\theta} \bar{z} + \bar{m}. \quad (6)$$

We may therefore identify E as an element of the deformed 2-dimensional Euclidean group $E_q(2)$.

$Fun(E_q(2))$ is the algebra of all C^∞ functions in the group parameters of $E_q(2)$, i.e. the algebra spanned by ordered monomials in θ , m , and $\bar{m}$. Thus, $Fun(E_q(2))$ is taken to be $span\{\theta^a m^b \bar{m}^c \mid a, b, c = 0, 1, \dots\}$. The dual to $Fun(E_q(2))$ is $U_q e(2)$, the quantized universal enveloping algebra of the 2-dimensional Euclidean algebra. We take ξ , μ , and ν to be the elements of $U_q e(2)$ which give

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu, \theta^a m^b \bar{m}^c \rangle &= \delta_{a0} \delta_{b1} \delta_{c0}, & \langle \nu, \theta^a m^b \bar{m}^c \rangle &= \delta_{a0} \delta_{b0} \delta_{c1}, \\ \langle \xi, \theta^a m^b \bar{m}^c \rangle &= \delta_{a1} \delta_{b0} \delta_{c0}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Using the coproduct on $Fun(E_q(2))$ to obtain the multiplication on $U_q e(2)$ gives

$$\langle \nu^k \mu^l \xi^n, \theta^a m^b \bar{m}^c \rangle = [k]_q! [l]_{q^{-1}}! n! \delta_{na} \delta_{lb} \delta_{kc}, \quad [x]_q! \equiv \prod_{y=1}^x \frac{q^{2y} - 1}{q^2 - 1}, \quad (8)$$

so $\{\nu^k \mu^l \xi^n \mid k, l, n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ is a basis for $U_q e(2)$. The rest of the Hopf algebra structure of $U_q e(2)$ can be similarly obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} [\xi, \mu] &= i\mu, & [\xi, \nu] &= -i\nu, & \mu\nu &= q^2\nu\mu, \\ \Delta(\mu) &= \mu \otimes q^{2i\xi} + 1 \otimes \mu, & \Delta(\nu) &= \nu \otimes q^{2i\xi} + 1 \otimes \nu, \\ \Delta(\xi) &= \xi \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi, & \epsilon(\mu) &= \epsilon(\nu) = \epsilon(\xi) = 0, \\ S(\mu) &= -\mu q^{-2i\xi}, & S(\nu) &= -\nu q^{-2i\xi}, & S(\xi) &= -\xi. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

If H is a $*$ -Hopf algebra whose coproduct and antipode satisfy

$$\Delta(\bar{h}) = \overline{\Delta(h)}, \quad \overline{S(h)} = S^{-1}(h) \quad (10)$$

for all $h \in H$, then an involution on H^* can be defined by [6]

$$\langle \bar{\chi}, h \rangle = \langle \chi, S^{-1}(\bar{h}) \rangle^*, \quad (11)$$

where $\chi \in H^*$. Using this to define complex conjugation on $U_q e(2)$ gives

$$\bar{\xi} = -\xi, \quad \bar{\mu} = -q^2\nu, \quad \bar{\nu} = -q^{-2}\mu. \quad (12)$$

Defining new operators J , P_+ , and P_- as

$$J \equiv i\xi, \quad P_+ \equiv qq^{-i\xi}\nu, \quad P_- \equiv -q^{-1}\mu q^{-i\xi}, \quad (13)$$

gives $\bar{J} = J$, $\bar{P}_\pm = P_\mp$, and

$$\begin{aligned} [J, P_\pm] &= \pm P_\pm, & [P_+, P_-] &= 0, \\ \Delta(P_\pm) &= P_\pm \otimes q^J + q^{-J} \otimes P_\pm, & \Delta(J) &= J \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes J, \\ \epsilon(P_\pm) &= \epsilon(J) = 0, \\ S(J) &= -J, & S(P_\pm) &= -q^{\pm 1} P_\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

3 $U_q e(2)$ from $SU_q(2)$

In this section we will show how the deformed Euclidean group $E_q(2)$ can be obtained from $SU_q(2)$ by contraction and how this implies a similar contraction scheme for the deformed Lie algebra $U_q su(2)$, giving an independent derivation of $U_q e(2)$.

3.1 $E_q(2)$ by contraction of $SU_q(2)$

Recall [3], [7] the commutation relations for $SU_q(2)$, which may be written in compact matrix notation as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}T_1T_2 &= T_2T_1R_{12}, \quad \det_q T = 1, \quad T^\dagger = T^{-1}, \\ \Delta(T) &= T \dot{\otimes} T, \quad \epsilon(T) = I, \quad S(T) = T^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -q\bar{\gamma} \\ \gamma & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = q^{-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

and $\lambda = q - q^{-1}$. Now set $\alpha \equiv v$, $\bar{\alpha} \equiv \bar{v}$, $\gamma \equiv l\bar{n}$ and $\bar{\gamma} \equiv ln$, where $l \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is the contraction parameter. Written in terms of v , $\bar{v}$, n and $\bar{n}$, relations (15) become

$$\begin{aligned} \det_q T &= v\bar{v} + q^2 l^2 n\bar{n} = \bar{v}v + l^2 \bar{n}n = 1, \\ n\bar{n} &= \bar{n}n, \quad vn = qnv, \quad v\bar{n} = q\bar{n}v, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

and coincide with (1) in the limit $l \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $E_q(2)$ is a contraction of $SU_q(2)$.

3.2 $U_q e(2)$ by contraction of $U_q su(2)$

The deformed universal enveloping algebra $U_q su(2)$, dual to $Fun(SU_q(2))$, is generated by hermitean operators H , X_+ , X_- satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [H, X_\pm] &= \pm 2X_\pm, & [X_+, X_-] &= \frac{q^H - q^{-H}}{q - q^{-1}}, \\ \Delta(H) &= H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H, & \Delta(X_\pm) &= X_\pm \otimes q^{H/2} + q^{-H/2} \otimes X_\pm, \\ \epsilon(H) &= \epsilon(X_\pm) = 0, \\ S(H) &= -H, & S(X_\pm) &= -q^{\pm 1} X_\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Following [3] these relations can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12}L_2^\pm L_1^\pm &= L_1^\pm L_2^\pm R_{12}, \quad R_{12}L_2^+ L_1^- = L_1^- L_2^+ R_{12}, \\ \Delta(L^\pm) &= L^\pm \otimes L^\pm, \quad \epsilon(L^\pm) = I, \\ S(L^\pm) &= (L^\pm)^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where $L^\pm$ are given by

$$L^+ = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-H/2} & q^{-1/2}\lambda X_+ \\ 0 & q^{H/2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^- = \begin{pmatrix} q^{H/2} & 0 \\ -q^{1/2}\lambda X_- & q^{-H/2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (19)$$

Using this matrix notation, there is an elegant way of stating the duality between the group and the algebra by means of the commutation relations

$$L_1^+ T_2 = T_2 R_{21} L_1^+, \quad L_1^- T_2 = T_2 R_{12}^{-1} L_1^-, \quad (20)$$

as described in [7]. Equations (20) are consistent with the inner products

$$\langle L_1^+, T_2 \rangle = R_{21}, \quad \langle L_1^-, T_2 \rangle = R_{12}^{-1}, \quad (21)$$

given in [3]. Furthermore, equations (18) can be derived as consistency conditions to (15) and (20). In addition, complex conjugation can be defined as an involution on the extended algebra generated by products of T and $L^\pm$. This agrees with (11). Unitarity of T then implies $(L^+)^\dagger = (L^-)^{-1}$, i.e. $\overline{H} = H$, $\overline{X_\pm} = X_\mp$.

In the present case equations (20) become

$$\begin{aligned} H v &= v H - v, \quad X_+ v = q^{1/2} v X_+ - l q n q^{H/2}, \quad X_- v = q^{1/2} v X_-, \\ l H \overline{n} &= l (\overline{n} H - \overline{n}), \quad l X_+ \overline{n} = q^{1/2} \overline{n} l X_+ + \overline{v} q^{H/2}, \quad l X_- \overline{n} = l q^{1/2} \overline{n} X_-, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

plus the complex conjugate relations.

The way that the deformation parameter l appears in these relations suggests the definition of new operators $P_+ \equiv l X_+$, $P_- \equiv \overline{P_+} = l X_-$ and $J \equiv H/2$, so that we will retain non-trivial commutation relations for $P_\pm$ and J with v , $\overline{v}$, n and $\overline{n}$ in the limit $l \rightarrow 0$. Inserting $P_\pm$ and J into equation (17) we again obtain $U_q e(2)$ (see (14)) as a contraction of $U_q su(2)$ in this limit.

4 Conclusion

Through equation (22) the contraction on the group level (section 3.1) motivates a particular contraction scheme at the algebra level (section 3.2). The two methods outlined in sections 2 and 3 are summarized in the following (commutative) diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 SU_q(2) & \xrightarrow[\iota \rightarrow 0]{\text{contraction}} & E_q(2) \\
 \downarrow \text{dual} & & \downarrow \text{dual} \\
 U_q su(2) & \xrightarrow[\iota \rightarrow 0]{\text{contraction}} & U_q e(2)
 \end{array}$$

Note that the *algebra* obtained in (14) is the same as the classical 2-dimensional Euclidean algebra $e(2)$ (with $P_{\pm} = P_x \pm iP_y$ and J as hermitean generators) [2]. Note, however, as a *Hopf algebra* it is still deformed; the deformation parameter q remains unchanged.

References

- [1] M. Schlieker, W. Weich, and R. Weixler, Munich Preprint, LMU-TPW 1991-3
- [2] E. Celeghini, R. Giachetti, E. Sorace, and M. Tarlini, J. Math. Phys. **31** 2548 (1990)
- [3] N. Yu. Reshetikhin, L. A. Takhtadzhyan, and L. D. Faddeev, Leningrad Math. J. **1** 193 (1990)
- [4] S. L. Woronowicz, Quantum $E(2)$ group and its Pontryagin dual, submitted to Lett. Math. Phys.
- [5] M. Rosso, Commun. Math. Phys. **124**, 307 (1989)
- [6] B. Drabant, M. Schlieker, W. Weich, and B. Zumino, Munich Preprint, LMU-TPW 1991-5

- [7] B. Zumino, Berkeley Preprint, LBL-31432 and UCB-PTH-59/91, to appear in Proc. X-th IAMP Conf., Leipzig (1991), Lec. Notes in Phys., Springer Verlag